

McPHARLIN SPRINKLES & THOMAS LLP

Robert E. Camors, Jr., CA Bar No. 121204

BOBCAMORS@MSTPARTNERS.COM

160 W. Santa Clara St., Suite 400

San Jose, CA 95113

Telephone: 408.293.1900

Facsimile: 408.293.1999

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant
GENMARK AUTOMATION, INC.

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

JAMES C. YOON, CA Bar No. 177155

JYOON@WSGR.COM

650 Page Mill Road

Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050

Telephone: (650) 493-9300

Facsimile: (650) 493-6811

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant
INNOVATIVE ROBOTICS SYSTEMS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OAKLAND DIVISION

GENMARK AUTOMATION, INC., a
California corporation,

Plaintiff, Counterdefendant,

v.

INNOVATIVE ROBOTICS SYSTEMS, INC.,
a California corporation,

Defendant, Counterclaimant.

CASE NO. 05-cv-04707 PJH

**STIPULATION FOR ORDER
CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE FOR 7 DAYS**

Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12

Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton

Plaintiff, Genmark Automation, Inc. ("Genmark") and Defendant, Innovative Robotics Systems, Inc., ("IRSI") (Genmark and IRSI are collectively "the Parties") hereby stipulate and agree to seek an order continuing the Case Management Conference which has been set by the Court to occur on January 13, 2011 by the Clerk's Notice dated December 22, 2010 (Docket Item No. 129). The facts supporting this Stipulation are in the Declaration of James C. Yoon filed herewith and which is Exhibit A hereto ("Yoon Decl.").

1 Plaintiff and Defendant request the continuation of this case management conference
2 because Defendant's counsel is unavailable to attend the conference on January 13, 2011. *See*
3 Yoon Decl. ¶ 3. Defendant's counsel is available to attend a conference on January 20, 2011.
4 *Id.*

5 The Parties request a further seven day extension or continuation of the case management
6 conference so that Defendant's counsel may attend. *See* Yoon Decl. ¶ 3.

7 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Genmark Automation, Inc., and Defendant, Innovative
8 Robotics, Inc., stipulate and agree that the Case Management Conference should be continued to
9 a date that is at least 7 days after January 13, 2011.

10
11 Respectfully Submitted,
12 Dated: January 5, 2011 McPharlin Sprinkles & Thomas LLP

13 By: /s/ Robert E. Camors, Jr.
14 Robert E. Camors, Jr.
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff
Genmark Automation, Inc.

16 Dated: January 5, 2011 Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati

17 By: /s/ James C. Yoon
18 James C. Yoon
19 Attorneys for Defendant
20 Innovative Robotics Systems, Inc.

1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED

2
3 Dated: _ January 10 _____, 2011



4
5
6 THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
7 IS CONTINUED TO FEBRUARY 3, 2011 AT
8 2:00 P.M.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I hereby certify that counsel for Plaintiff and Defendant conferred on the 5th day of January, 2011, and that this stipulation was signed by the attorneys whose names appear above.

Dated: January 5, 2011

/s/ James C. Yoon

James C. Yoon
Attorneys for Defendant
Innovative Robotics Systems, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 5th day of January, 2011, a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically through the Court's CM/ECF system, with notice of case activity automatically generated and sent electronically to all parties.

/s/ James C. Yoon
James C. Yoon